

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/619,738	Applicant(s) ANNAMANENI ET AL.
	Examiner CARLOS ORTIZ RODRIGUEZ	Art Unit 2123

All Participants:**Status of Application:** Non-Final

- (1) CARLOS ORTIZ RODRIGUEZ. (3) ____.
 (2) MICHAEL W. FARN. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 12 August 2008**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections present in Office Action dated 11/16/07. Specifically, rejections regarding the method claims.

Claims discussed:

Claims submitted on 4/15/08. Specifically, method claims 4,19 and 20.

Prior art documents discussed:

*Prior Art of Record, including U.S. Pat No. 6,684,121 to Lu et al.***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Please see attached Examiner's Amendment. Method claims 2-29 are cancelled.. Applicant's will consider the option of submitting a Continuation Application addressing the scope of method claims 2-29. Additionally, claim 57 is amended to clearly define the version of the Rosettanet Standard utilized by Applicant at the time the invention was made.